

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/937,300

Applicant(s)

TOKUNAGA ET AL

Examiner

ALEX NOGUEROLA

Art Unit

1753

## All Participants:

(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA(2) Nils PedersenStatus of Application: first action on the merits

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 3 June 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*all pending claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Mr. Pedersen elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-8, with traverse.*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)